Publication & Reporting

From Avoidance to Transparency in Research

GCC Rigor & Reproducibility Workshop 2/14/2018

S.L. Gorniak, Ph.D. University of Houston

Why do we loathe Publication & Reporting?

 List 2-3 examples in which you personally have exhibited avoidance behaviors (eg. procrastination) with respect to publication &/or reporting in any of your current or past research projects

• Why?

What is Avoidance - Motivated Behavior?

- Our behavior when we distract ourselves from doing a task that is associated with an unpleasant emotion, typically fear
 - 3 main types of behaviors:
 - Complete Avoidance
 - No showing at a presentation, Failure to submit documents, Quit a responsibility
 - Escape
 - Leaving early from a commitment, Ending a talk abruptly, Hiding in one's office
 - Partial Avoidance
 - Daydreaming, Avoiding eye contact,
 - Worry, Anxiety, Panic
 - These behaviors do not reduce anxiety, rather they can fuel it or cause difficult situations to "snowball".

- A FIELD GUIDE TO -**PROCRASTINATORS**





Mr. Productive Here is a picture of me not doing work! Hehehehe

The Social Sharer







The Gamer



The Watcher

The Delegator

The Perpetuator

What is Avoidance - Motivated Coping?

- We do something else instead to bring momentary relief
 - #1 way....Procrastination

CHAM



Why do we exhibit avoidance behaviors in P&R?

Time Management

- Administration & writing can take a significant amount of time away from productivity
- P&R deadlines can create time conflicts with other commitments (family, teaching, service, travel, ...)

"Lack of Progress"

- Often, we feel like we have not been productive enough... which can be paralyzing
- Progress can feel like a moving target



Avoidance Impacts P&R

- Missed Deadlines
- Delayed Publications
- Late Reports to Regulatory & Funding Entities
- May Impact Promotion & Tenure, Career Advancement



WWW. PHDCOMICS. COM



Publication & Products of Research

- Most commonly sought products of research
 - Peer-review publications in top-tier journals
 - May require open access agreements for data produced
 - Conference papers / podium presentations
 - Abstracts / conference posters
 - Technology Development &/or Techniques
 - Inventions: Patents, patent applications (NPA, PPA), licensing agreements
 - "Other"
 - **Databases**, physical collections, A/V products, software, instrumentation, interventions, educational aids

Publication & Products of Research

• Who does the work?

- PI / Co-Is are typically senior authors
- Post-docs, Research Assistants
- Graduate Students, possibly Undergrad students
- Lab Techs
- Typically, these are team efforts which the PI supervises
 - PI can ideally spend time to other projects and commitments
 - Majority of work likely done by junior scientists / trainees***



Research Reporting

- Common types of reporting in research
 - Department/Center/University Annual Reports
 - IRB / Human Subjects Protection
 - IACUC / Animal Research Oversight
 - Federal Regulatory Bodies (eg. FDA)
 - Funding / Grants
 - Federal (NIH, NSF, etc)
 - State (CPRIT)
 - Local (Dunn Foundation)
 - Private Foundations (AHA, ADA, etc)



Post-Doc vs. Assistant Prof.

https://twitter.com/suchisaria/status/761919405995405312

Research Performance Progress Reports (RPPRs)

Federally mandated format required by NIH

- Similar formats & information required by other funding bodies
- Typically done on an annual basis

• Only the PI or a noted delegate can initiate

- This can require a major time & resource commitment by the PI
- Majority of work likely done by Pl
 - May have to formally submit the document to the funding body & institution

RPPR Required Components

• Accomplishments

- What were the major goals and objectives of the project?
- What was accomplished under these goals?
- What opportunities for training and professional development did the project provided?
- How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
- What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives?

RPPR Required Components

- Publications & Products
- Patient (or Animal) Recruitment and Safety Reports

Collaborative Efforts

- Collaborating Institution Reports
- Multi-site projects?

• Impact

 Are you producing a sustained powerful influence in your research area with your progress?

RPPR Required Components

Project Changes, Challengers, & Problems

- Changes in approach and reasons for change
- Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them
- Changes that have a significant impact on expenditures
- Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents
- Financial / Budgetary Reports
- Future Plans?

Core Reporting Standards - Manuscripts

Randomization

- Animals &/or human subjects should be assigned randomly to the various experimental groups, and the method of randomization reported.
- Data should be collected and processed randomly or appropriately blocked.

• Blinding

- Allocation concealment: Investigator(s) should be unaware of the group to which the next animal taken from a cage will be allocated.
- Blinded conduct of the experiment: Animal caretakers and investigators conducting the experiments should be blinded to the allocation sequence.
- Blinded assessment of outcome: Investigator(s) assessing, measuring, or quantifying experimental outcomes should be blinded to the intervention.

Core Reporting Standards - Manuscripts

Sample Size & Estimation

An appropriate sample size should be computed & utilized; the statistical details of computation should also be reported

Data Handling

- Rules for stopping data collection should be defined in advance.
- Criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data should be established prospectively.
- How outliers will be defined and handled should be decided when the experiment is being designed, and any data removed before analysis should be reported.
- The primary end point should be prospectively selected. If multiple end points are to be assessed, then appropriate statistical corrections should be applied.
- Investigators should report on data missing because of attrition or exclusion.
- Pseudo replicate issues need to be considered during study design and analysis.
- Investigators should report how often a particular experiment was performed and whether results were substantiated by repetition under a range of conditions.

Hurtles to P&R?

Project Changes, Challengers, & Problems

- Difficulties in starting &/or running a project
 - Instrumentation, Participants, Lab Staff, Time, Funding, ...
 - Lack of progress due to such difficulties

• Difficulty organizing required information by stated deadlines

• Time conflicts, communication challenges, ...

• Little to no institutional support

- Some PIs need to fill out the report and submit it in its entirety
- A lot of time can be devoted to understanding what info is required, especially without administrative support

Competition

If P&R is so tough, why bother?

Negative Consequences

- Withdrawal of funding
- Inability to support current & future projects
- Inability to achieve promotion, tenure, career advancement, ...

If P&R is so tough, why bother?

- Lack of P&R means that biases can be introduced into the evidence base
 - Reporting Bias
 - Selective revealing (or suppression) of information/outcome of a study
 - Reporting some results, but not all results
 - "Spinning" of unexpected or undesirable results
 - Attributed to sampling or measurement errors



Publication Bias

- Essentially this is non-publication of results
- Typically due to failure of an intervention
 - Lack of "positive" or "significant" results

Time Lag Bias

- Rapid publication of exciting, but not full results
- Delay in publication of negative or non-significant findings
- Delayed publication an also occur if a PI is trying to boost "productivity" under a specific grant

Duplicate Publication Bias

Publication of the same results in multiple journals or journal supplements

- Typically higher incidence for "positive" or "significant" results
- Incidence may be decreasing due to widely searchable electronic databases (eg. Pubmed)

Location Bias

- Refers to journal of publication & impact factors
- Studies with "positive" and "significant" results tend to be
 - Published in journals with higher impact factors
 - Published in journals with better access (eg. indexed)

Citation Bias

- Tendency to cite positive findings more frequently
- May lead to perception that an intervention is more effective than it truly is, due to differential in number of citations
- Over-representation of positive findings

Language Bias

- Publication of positive findings in a specific language
 - eg. English
- Non-significant results may be published in non-English language journals

YOUR BIBLIOGRAPHY

WORRIES ME.

May impact meta-reviews and systematic review results

Knowledge Reporting Bias

- The frequency with which actions, outcomes, or properties are reported is not necessarily a reflection of actual incidence
 - Location of study, SES, or other factors may significantly impact results

Outcome Reporting Bias

- Selective reporting of results
- Modification of hypotheses to fit findings
- Less likely to report adverse
 outcomes
 - Suppression
 - Highly problematic for drug & device trials

19th century scientist

I must find the explanation for this phenomenon in order to truly understand Nature...



21st centurt scientist I must get the result that fits my narrative so I can get my paper into Nature..

Funding Bias

- Tendency of a scientific study to support the interests of the study's financial sponsor
- Predetermined conclusions may bias researchers into an expectation bias
- Some sponsors require PIs to waive the right to publish findings that do not support the sponsor's interests
 - Associated with publication bias & outcome reporting bias
- Study design may be flawed from the start

Strategies in Addressing Bias in P&R

- Build a case in which negative results can provide a positive impact on the evidence base
- Don't suppress results, particularly negative results or "non-significant" results
- Don't rush or delay publication, also don't "dual submit"
- Avoid publishing the same results in more than one manuscript unless there is a very good reason to do so (new analyses, etc)
- Carefully consider the impact factor, scope, and audience of the journals you submit to
 - International? Clinical?
- Try to balance the story you tell with respect to citations
- Use references from journals that adhere to NIH R&R guidelines

Strategies in Addressing Bias in P&R

Report on the following:

- Randomization techniques
- Use of blinding
- Sample size estimation
- Data handling

Look for these hallmarks in the literature you use to build your studies!

Landis et al. 2012 - Nature

Strategies in Addressing Bias in Reporting

- Know the strengths & weaknesses of your team
- Familiarize yourself with expectations of editors, review boards, funders, & your institution
- Know your deadlines
- Know what components are needed for reporting
- Know your resources
 - Do you have administrative support? How much? What type?

A New Year's Resolution...

What can you (&/or your lab) do this year to improve your relationship with publication, reporting, & bias?

• Come up with 2 - 3 resolutions with implementation strategies to share & discuss with your breakout group.

